


Huvitavad leiud:

Gregurović, M. (2021). Integration Policies and Public Perceptions of Immigrants in Europe: ESS Meets MIPEX in the Aftermath of the European “Migration Crisis”. *Revija Za Sociologiju*, 51(3), 347–380. <https://doi.org/10.5613/rzs.51.3.2>

National mechanisms of migrant integration into the host society and the wider social context in which migration occurs may affect the construction of public attitudes towards migrants regardless of their origin or status. By combining the data of two international studies: the Migrant Integration Policy Index (MIPEX) and the European Social Survey (ESS), this paper examines national policies regarding migrant integration and the public perception of migrants in the host society in selected European countries. This analysis highlights especially the shifts in public attitudes as possible consequences of the 2015/2016 European “migration crisis”. Multilevel regression models demonstrated that the respondents from countries with well-developed integration policies express a more positive attitude towards immigrants and acknowledge their contribution to all areas of the host society. A higher proportion of non-EU-27 immigrants in the country correlates with a more negative perception of immigrants’ impact on the host country. In conclusion, this study’s findings suggest that better-developed policies of political participation and immigrant inclusion foster more positive attitudes towards immigrants.



Joonis 1. Immigrantidesse suhtumist mõõtvate indikaatorite keskmised riikide lõikes




Joonis 2. Hinnanguline lubatud immigrantide arv riigis


Weisstanner, D. (2022). COVID-19 and welfare state support: The case of universal basic income. *Policy and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/polsoc/puab015>

*The COVID-19 pandemic has revived discussions about universal basic income (UBI) as a potential crisis response. Yet despite favorable circumstances, little actual policy change in this area was observed. This article seeks to explain this absence of policy change and to reflect on the prospects for introducing UBI schemes after the pandemic in European democracies. I argue that public opinion on UBI provides few electoral incentives to push for social policy change. Using pre-pandemic data from 21 European democracies and pandemic data from the UK, I show that **political support for UBI has been divided between different groups who advocate conflicting policy goals and who hold divergent views about existing welfare state arrangements**. While support for UBI might have increased during the pandemic, the underlying political dividing lines are likely to have remained intact. Due to*

these enduring divisions and the stable support for existing social policy arrangements over an untested policy, the prospects for introducing UBI schemes in the post-pandemic world remain uncertain.



Joonis 3. Keskmine toetus kodanikupalgale, ümberjagamisele ja töötutoetustele.



Joonis 4. Erinevate toetusmeetmete toetamine

Soloviov, V. (2022). Linking regional autonomy–embeddedness value orientation and innovation. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 11(1), 18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-022-00218-3>

Culture, as a key driver of innovative activity, has been largely analysed at the national and organisational levels by interdisciplinary studies. This article investigates the relationship between Schwartz’s cultural dimensions and innovation, proxied by the number of patent applications to the European Patent Office, at the regional level. Using European Social

*Survey questionnaire, Eurostat and European Patent Office data and employing factor analysis and multilevel models, the link between culture and innovation is examined for regions of 26 European countries. The results of the analysis show that **cultural differences are significantly related to differences in patenting even between regions of the same country.** In particular, **a higher autonomy–embeddedness score is significantly positively linked to patent applications at the regional level.** The study highlights the usefulness of region-level cultural dimensions, providing evidence of more precise results obtained when these dimensions are used in the analysis.*

Publikatsioonid teemade kaupa:

Immigrandid

Paskov, M., Präg, P., & Richards, L. (2021). Does downward social mobility make people more hostile towards immigrants? *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2020.100543>

Gregurović, M. (2021). Integration Policies and Public Perceptions of Immigrants in Europe: ESS Meets MIPEX in the Aftermath of the European “Migration Crisis”. *Revija Za Sociologiju*, 51(3), 347–380. <https://doi.org/10.5613/rzs.51.3.2>

Maasing, H., Nahkur, O., Roots, A., & Ainsaar, M. (2021). Measuring the risk of immigration related conflicts. *Individual and Society*, 24(4), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.31577/cas.2021.04.592>

Molteni, F., & van Tubergen, F. (2022). Immigrant generation and religiosity: A study of Christian immigrant groups in 33 European countries. *European Societies*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2022.2044067>

Ränne

Palermo, F., Sergi, B. S., & Sironi, E. (2022). Does urbanization matter? Diverging attitudes toward migrants and Europe’s decision-making. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2022.101278>

Diskrimineerimine

Kende, J., Reiter, J., Coşkan, C., Doosje, B., & Green, E. G. T. (2022). The role of minority discrimination and political participation in shaping majority perceptions of discrimination: Two cross-national studies. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13684302221075711>

Ebavõrdsus

Paskov, M., & Weistanner, D. (2021). Cross-Class Embeddedness through Family Ties and Support for Income Redistribution. *European Sociological Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcab040>

Paskov, M., Gërkhani, K., & Werfhorst, H. G. van de. (2017). Giving Up on the Joneses? The Relationship between Income Inequality and Status-seeking. *European Sociological Review*, 33(1), 112–123. <https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcw052>

Katrňák, T., & Hubatková, B. (2022). Transitional Inequality Offset: Educational Expansion and Inequality of Educational Opportunity in European Countries between 2000 and 2018. *European Sociological Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcac003>

Sotsiaalpoliitika/ toetused

Weisstanner, D. (2022). COVID-19 and welfare state support: The case of universal basic income. *Policy and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/polsoc/puab015>

Õnnelikkus

Bruna, F. (2021). Happy Cultures? A Multilevel Model of Well-Being with Individual and Contextual Human Values. *Social Indicators Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-021-02858-6>

Sotsiaalne eraldatus

Kislev, E. (2022). Aging, Marital Status, and Loneliness: Multilevel Analyses of 30 Countries. *Research on Ageing and Social Policy*, 10(1), 77–103. <https://doi.org/10.17583/rasp.8923>

Sooline ebavõrdsus

Himma, M. (2021). *Sotsiaaluuringu andmed paljastavad põhjuse, miks poliitikas on rohkem mehi.* ERR. <https://novaator.err.ee/1608449612/sotsiaaluuringu-andmed-paljastavad-pohjuse-miks-poliitikas-on-rohkem-mehi>

Soorollid

Deole, S., & Zeydanli, T. (2021). *The causal impact of education on gender role attitudes: Evidence from European datasets.* https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sumit-Deole/publication/349537640_The_causal_impact_of_education_on_gender_role_attitudes_Evidence_from_European_datasets/links/619df9f53

[068c54fa519b97f/The-causal-impact-of-education-on-gender-role-attitudes-Evidence-from-European-datasets.pdf](https://doi.org/10.1080/00141801.2022.2043406)

Depressioon

Paskov, M., & Richards, L. (2021). Social status inequality and depression in Europe. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00207152211022419>

Tervis

Leijen, I., & van Herk, H. (2021). Health and Culture: The Association between Healthcare Preferences for Non-Acute Conditions, Human Values and Social Norms. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(23), 12808. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182312808>

Hadjar, A., & Kotitschke, E. (2022). How the Welfare-State Regime Shapes the Gap in Subjective Well-Being Between People With and Without Disabilities. *KZfSS Kölner Zeitschrift Für Soziologie Und Sozialpsychologie*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11577-021-00805-4>

Innovatsioon

Soloviov, V. (2022). Linking regional autonomy–embeddedness value orientation and innovation. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 11(1), 18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-022-00218-3>

Sotsiaal-majanduslikud raskused

Akaeda, N., & Schöneck, N. M. (2022). Socio-economic insecurity perceptions and their societal determinants: Europe in the aftermath of the Great Recession. *European Societies*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2022.2043406>

Jaotav õiglus

Van Hootegeem, A. (2022). Worlds of distributive justice preferences: Individual- and country-level profiles of support for equality, equity and need. *Social Science Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2022.102721>